

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/636,075 08/07/2003 Barry H. Lee 349.227 9846 7590 08/10/2005 **EXAMINER** Andrew S. McConnell FIDEI, DAVID · Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 250 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1030 Milwaukee, WI 53202 3728

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\langle y \rangle$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0	
Office Action Summary	10/636,075	LEE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David T. Fidei	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-17,23 and 24 is/are pending in the a	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-17,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.			(	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	epted or b) objected to by the I			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	-	ed in this National St	age	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	ed		
	or the destined depice thetreeont			
Attachment(s)	,, <b>-</b>	(DTO 116)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		52)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:			

Art Unit: 3728

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the details of page 5, line 13 incorporated by reference is left out. Page 7, line fails to name the transparent. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). When amending the specification applicant is also requested to show where support can be found for the insertions to avoid the question of new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. On page 8 of the present specification an upper engagement section 24 has a forwardly angled top wall 70, a vertical engagement wall 72, a pair of side walls 74 with an opening 76 formed in engagement wall 72. A display section 28 is also described on page 7 to maintain a card to bear information relative to the packaged product.

As to claim 13, it is not clear where the present disclosure provides an outwardly facing wall defining an upper area and a lower area. It appears pluralities of walls are required, and disclosed, to form the various sections of the present invention. The "outward" facing wall includes a engagement structure in claim 13 yet the description provides no such detail. As outlined above "the engagement wall 72" includes an opening that appears to be what applicant is defining in the claim. Yet it can hardly be said wall 72 can be viewed as an outwardly facing wall defining an upper area and a lower area offset in a forward direction having the claimed details recited. Hence claim 13, along with the further dependent claims is deficient under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,075

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 9-13, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huot, Jr. et al (Patent no. 6,206,189). A packaged product is disclosed comprising an outwardly facing wall defined by hanger tab 38, that is offset in a forward direction relative to the lower area 22, see figure 2. The product is engaged within the lower area in a manner such that the product is located forwardly of the wall 30. The upper area of the outwardly facing wall includes an engagement structure defined by the opening to support the package in a suspension-type manner. The lower area is configured to position the product such that the center of gravity, and the entire product itself, is located below (vertically) the engagement structure as shown in figure 1.

As to claims 23 & 24, and engagement means is defined by member 38 and a product support means defined lower section 22. That planar surface area of the tab 38 between the opening (not numbered) and the wall portion 26 defines display means in as much as is claimed and disclosed.

As to claim 1 and upper section is defined in Huot, Jr. et al by the opening in tab 38. An intermediate section is formed by that planar surface area of the tab 38 between the opening (not numbered) and the wall portion 26. Lower area defines a product support section.

As to claim 2, the suspension type engagement section includes an opening configured to receive a hook or the like to suspend the package.

As to claim 3, the display section wall described above is exposed between the upper engagement surface and product support section.

As to claims 9-11, the product support section includes an opening 86 that engages with the product engagement structure of a complementary structure.

As to claim 12, member 84 and 85 defines a post.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/636,075

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4, 8 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huot, Jr. et al (Patent no. 6,206,189) as applied to claim13, 23 and 24 above, and further in view of Zakarian (Patent no. 5,803,253). The difference between the claimed subject matter and Huot, Jr. et al resides in the exposed portion of the outwardly facing wall carrying information about the product, claims 14, 4. Wherein the information about the product is carried on a card, claim 15. The outwardly facing wall is recited as being formed of a transparent material, claims 8,16, which Huot, Jr. et al is, see col. 4, line 66 of Huot, Jr. et al. Also the structure of claim 17 is disclosed by Huot, Jr. et al.

Zakarian discloses that it is well known in the tool product display are to provide exposed portions of the package to carry information about the product. Zakarian shows this as a card or label 44, note figure 1 or embossed surfaces, note figure 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the outwardly facing wall of Huot, Jr. et al carrying information about the product as suggested by Zakarian, in order to provide product identification or information.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,075 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-17 and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## This action is Non-Final.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner concerning the merits of the claims should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. Further information may be obtained from;

# **General Information Number 800-786-9199 or 308-4357**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf August 5, 2005

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700 Application/Control Number: 10/636,075

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6